1. See Michael Trebilcock, “Between Theories of Trade and Development: The Future of the World Trading System”, (2015) 16(1) Journal of World Investment and Trade Law 122–40.
2. See Trebilcock, ibid.
3. Branko Milanovic, “Global Inequality of Opportunity: How Much of Our Income Is Determined by Where We Live?”, (2015) 97(2) *Review of Economics and Statistics* 452–60.
4. Gianmarco Ottaviano and Giovanni Peri, “Rethinking the Effect of Immigration on Wages”, (2012) 10(1) *Journal of the European Economic Association* 152–97; George Borjas, “The Labor Demand Curve is Downward Sloping: Re-examining the Impact of Immigration on the Labor Market”, (2003) 118(4) *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1335–74.
5. Hans Fehr, et al., “The Role of Immigration in Dealing with the Developed World’s Demographic Transition”, (2004) National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 10512. See also Robert J. Gordon, “Exploding Productivity Growth: Context, Causes and Implications”, (2003) Brookings Papers on Economic Activity No. 2:2003.
6. See John G. Ruggie, “International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order”, (1982) 36 *International Organization* 379. Ruggie modernizes and adapts a perspective earlier elucidated by Karl Polanyi. See Karl Polanyi, *The Great Transformation: The Political and Economic Origins of Our Time* (1944). Dani Rodrik has considered the application of this perspective to modern global markets. *See* Dani Rodrik, *Has Globalization Gone Too Far?* (Washington, DC: Institute for International Economics, 1997), p. 7.
7. Marc R. Rosenblum and Wayne A. Cornelius, “Dimensions of Immigration Policy”, in Marc Rosenblum and Daniel J. Tichenor (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of the Politics of International Migration* (New York: Oxford University Press 2012), pp. 245–73, at p. 246.
8. See James F. Hollifield, “Migration and the ‘New’ International Order: The Missing Regime”, in Bimal Ghosh (ed.), *Managing Migration: Time for a New International Regime* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000), p. 90.
9. Eytan Meyers, “Theories of International Immigration Policy: A Comparative Analysis”, (2000) 34 *International Migration Review* 1245–82, 1266.
10. See Michael Trebilcock, “The Law and Economics of Immigration Policy”, (2003) 5(2) *American Law and Economics Review* 271–317. See also Michael Trebilcock and Matthew Sudak, “The Political Economy of Emigration and Immigration”, (2006) 81 *New York University Law Review* 234.
11. See Joel Trachtman, *The International Law of Economic Migration: Toward the Fourth Freedom* (Kalamazoo: Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 2009).
12. WTO, World Trade Report 2018, *The Future of World Trade – How Digital Technologies are Transforming Global Commerce*, [https://www.wto.org/english/res\_e/publications\_e/world\_trade\_](http://www.wto.org/english/res_e/publications_e/world_trade_) report18\_e.pdf
13. $22 Trillion E-Commerce Opportunity for Developing Countries, UNCTAD (19 July 2016). See Andrew D. Mitchell and Neha Mishra, “Data at the Docks: Modernizing International Trade Law for the Digital Economy”, (2018) 20(4) *Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment and Technology Law* 1073.
14. WTO Declaration on Global Electronic Commerce, WT/L/274 (Adopted May 1998).
15. Commission Regulation 2016/679, 2016 O.J. (L 119).
16. See Andrew Mitchell and Jarrod Hepburn, “Don’t Fence Me In: Reforming Trade and Investment Law to Better Facilitate Cross-Border Data Transfer”, (2017) 19 *Yale Journal of Law and Technology* 182.
17. See, for example, George Dian-Balán, “On Fissionable Cows and the Limits to the WTO Security Exceptions”, (2018) 14 *Global Trade and Customs Journal* 2, 10; Roger P. Alford, “The Self- Judging WTO Security Exception”, (2011) 3 *Utah Law Review* 697; Stephan Schill and Robyn Briese, “‘If the State Considers’: Self-Judging Clauses in International Dispute Settlement”, (2009) 13 *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law* 61.
18. *Russia – Measures Concerning Traffic in Transit*, 5 April 2019, WT/DS512/R [*Russia – Traffic in Transit*].
19. Id., para. 7.101.
    1. Id. See European Union Third Party Written Submission, paras 41–45, *Russia – Measures Concerning Traffic in Transit* (DS512); Michael J. Hahn, “Vital Interests and the Law of GATT: An Analysis of GATT’s Security Exception”, (1991) 12 *Michigan Journal of International Law* 558, *584*.
    2. Russia – Traffic in Transit, paras 7.132–7.138
    3. Id., para. 7.131.
    4. Id., para. 7.130.
    5. Id., para. 7.134–135.
    6. Id., para. 7767.76.
    7. Id., para. 7757.75.
    8. *United Arab Emirates – Measures Relating to Trade in Goods and Services, and Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights*, in Minutes of Meeting Held in the Centre William Rappard on 22 November 2017, paras. 3.1–3.15, WTO Doc. WT/DSB/M/404 (6 March 2018). There are several cases against the U.S. steel and aluminium tariffs, including DS548: United States – Certain Measures on Steel and Aluminium Products, panel composed on 25 January 2019.