

Aldrich et al. – Appendix

Table A1. Equilibrium Partisanship (Per Cent)

Generation	PEP	Partisan	Non-Partisan
Generation 2 (1965)	85	86	14
Generation 2 (1973)	86	83	17
Generation 2 (1982)	78	86	14
Generation 2 (1997)	93	93	7
Generation 3 (1997)	79	77	23

Source: Jennings, Markus, Niemi and Stoker (2005).

Comment: PEP is an abbreviation for Predicted Equilibrium Partisanship (see the text).

Table A2. Closeness to Party Results: Age at Time of the Fall of the USSR Among Those Living in Communist Europe

Age at fall of USSR	-0.015*** (0.000)
Female	0.339*** (0.028)
Education	-0.025*** (0.004)
Income	0.003 (0.006)
GDP (logged)	-20.170*** (1.430)
Warsaw Pact	17.520 (196.600)
Constant	146.800 (96.900)
<hr/>	
N	29,245
Logged Likelihood	-14,178.870
Akaine Inf. Crit.	28,407.730
Pseudo R-Squared	0.28

Source: CSES Modules 1-4.

Comment: ***p<0.01; **p<0.05; *p<0.1. Fixed effects for each county and each CSES Module were included in the logistic regression. Individual weights from the CSES were also implemented. Maximum likelihood pseudo R-squared value reported.

Table A3. Closeness to Party Results: Partisanship, Age, and Residence in the USSR

Age (Fall of USSR)	-0.011*** (0.000)
Former eastern bloc	-15.490 (72.63)
Age (Fall of USSR) * Former eastern bloc	-0.001*** (0.000)
Female	0.147*** (0.011)
Education	0.005*** (0.000)
Income	-0.004*** (0.002)
GDP (logged)	-0.385*** (0.058)
Warsaw Pact	15.750 (72.630)
Constant	2.396*** (0.551)
<hr/>	
N	165,940
Logged Likelihood	-95,918.120
Akaine Inf. Crit.	191,938.200
Pseudo R-Squared	0.29

Source: CSES Modules 1-4.

Comment: *** $p < 0.01$; ** $p < 0.05$; * $p < 0.1$. Fixed effects for each county and each CSES Module were included in the logistic regression. Individual weights from the CSES were reported.

Table A4. Satisfaction with the Working of Democracy

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Parliamentary System	0.108*** (0.015)	0.110*** (0.021)	0.132*** (0.022)
Age	-0.037 (0.033)	-0.044 (0.033)	0.040 (0.033)
Female	-0.035 (0.032)	-0.037 (0.032)	-0.035 (0.032)
GDP per Capita	0.904*** (0.046)	0.959*** (0.044)	0.897*** (0.047)
Unemployment Rate	-0.110*** (0.006)	-0.108*** (0.006)	-0.115*** (0.006)
Age of Regime	-0.578*** (0.033)	-0.594*** (0.033)	-0.569*** (0.034)
Campaign Involvement (followed very closely)	0.606*** (0.115)	0.526*** (0.110)	0.579*** (0.110)
Campaign Involvement (followed fairly closely)	0.686*** (0.106)	0.623*** (0.105)	0.680*** (0.105)
Campaign Involvement (followed no very closely)	0.325*** (0.086)	0.309*** (0.086)	0.326*** (0.086)
Education	0.059* (0.031)	0.058* (0.032)	0.061* (0.032)
Unemployed	-0.202* (0.111)	-0.200* (0.109)	-0.203* (0.110)
Closeness to Party (very)		0.332*** (0.073)	
Closeness to Party (somewhat)		0.322*** (0.050)	
Strong Partisan			0.109** (0.055)
Constant	0.570*** (0.141)	1.261*** (0.133)	1.412*** (0.133)
N	30,285	30,285	30,285
Logged Likelihood	-17,089.76	-17,040.67	-17,084.84
Akaike Inf. Crit.	34,262	34,167	34,254
Pseudo R-Squared	0.53	0.86	0.86

Source: CSES Module 3.

Comment: ***p<0.01; **p<0.05; *p<0.1. Logistic regression, standard errors in parenthesis. Fixed effects for the county and year were included in each analysis. Reported standard errors were clustered by country-year. Maximum likelihood pseudo R-squared values reported. The following variables were standardized: GDP per capita, unemployment rate, age of regime, and education.